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Starting from the assumption that a tax reform on goods and services in Brazil is necessary, please note that it will not be possible to comment on existing problems in current legislation. The purpose of this brief presentation is to comment on an alternative to address the issue of taxation of goods and services. This consists in Dual Taxation or Dual VAT.
DUAL VAT: WHAT IS IT? WHAT’S IT FOR?

- One VAT at the Federal Government level and another VAT at the State Government level
- Replaces (to be discussed): IPI, PIS, COFIN, CIDE, ICMS, ISS?
- Enable enhancement of quality of taxation on goods and services
- To use a phrase: a civilized form of two levels of government in the federative context to use the same tax base with a VAT type tax
- In a word: harmonization (unless there is an intent to harmonize taxation there is no such thing as Dual VAT)
Therefore Dual VAT is a step towards harmonizing taxation on goods and services.

One might call it Dual Taxation since tax regimes in Brazil that deviate from the pure concept of VAT are here to stay.

Nevertheless, it is possible to have two harmonized VATs even if they are not perfect VATs.

This converges closely with the proposal by the federal government.

Yet, how did the idea of a Dual VAT come about?
ORIGIN OF THE DUAL VAT

- Dual VAT in literature (Bird and Gendron) is a perception that VAT type taxes can be collected by the subnational government.
- This leads us to an older mode of Dual VAT.
- The IPI/ICM in 1967 (even though with a different model and context) Brazil was the 1st Country to provide a VAT type tax to two levels of government.
- The origin, therefore, is not with the FHC Government (PEC 175/95), nor with the Lula Government (Mar/07), nor with the Fiscal Forum of States, nor is it the Canadian arrangement adopted in 1992 (Good and Services Tax – GST and Quebec Sales Tax – QST).
- Which is an advantage, since if “an old tax is a good tax” perhaps there is room to discuss an alternative to the Dual VAT.
HARMONIZATION OF TAXATION OF GOODS AND SERVICES

This is the key concept of Dual Taxation as it enables:

Movement towards quality of taxation on goods and services

enhanced efficiency to the system

reduced conflicts in the federation

achieving unity even in diversity, inherent to federations

upholds subnational autonomy to define budget sizes

Below is a graphic display of gains that could be achieved through harmonization
HARMONIZATION OF TAXATION OF GOODS AND SERVICES

Costs of lack of harmonization

- Complexity, lack of neutrality, vertical competition, horizontal competition (tax war), delinquency and evasion, high tax admin and enforcement costs, corruption

Current Taxation

Unified National VAT

a (Current Taxation)

b (National VAT)

Costs of harmonization
HARMONIZATION OF TAXATION OF GOODS AND SERVICES

Costs with lack of harmonization

a) Current Taxation
b) ≤ space of dual taxation

c) Harmonized Dual VAT
d) National VAT

c = Dual VAT (enhanced VAT possible in federative context)
TAXATION OF GOODS AND SERVICES WITH AND WITHOUT HARMONIZATION

- Costs: several dimensions
- Inverse relation: quality of taxation x costs
- Current system: low quality of taxation
- Central VAT: least possible cost
- Dual harmonized VAT (least possible cost within federative context (reference model)
- Reform of taxation of goods and services: space between current system and reference model
- More or less quality of taxation depends on choices between principles of harmonization x autonomy from “b” moving towards “c”
HARMONIZATION OF TAXATION OF GOODS AND SERVICES

Benefits

Current Taxation

Space of reform

Quality in taxation

C = Dual VAT (enhanced VAT possible in federative context)

simplification, efficiency in collection, neutrality, citizenship rights, intergovernmental cooperation, legal-institutional stability, federative balance, competitiveness
BENEFITS OF HARMONIZATION IN TAXATION OF GOODS AND SERVICES

- Benefits several dimensions
- Direct relation: quality of taxation (benefits
- Simplification, efficiency in collection, neutrality, citizenship rights, intergovernmental cooperation, legal-institutional stability, federative balance, competitiveness
- Preserves autonomy of subnational governments to adjust their financial needs
- Dual Taxation facilitates the adoption of the principle of destination
Reform of taxation of goods and services should be part of a broader reform.

This is because it automatically results in a discussion on revenue sharing.

At the Fiscal Forum of the States, the perception is that taxation, revenue sharing, regional development, retirement/welfare, budgetary restrictions... are interlinked issues.

We have seen an alternative to address taxation of goods and services in a federative context.

Time is lacking for us to go into greater detail.

Below is a draft of aspects of Dual Taxation to be made available and discussed at another opportunity.
DI MENS I ONS OF THE REFORM

- Reference Model  where we want to go
- Operational aspects requirements to achieve the idealized model
- Transition care to...
- get there to the idealized model
- not get lost along the way
- reduce uncertainty/ insecurity/ loss
- proper increments over time
REFERENCE MODEL – GUIDELINES AND CHARACTERISTICS OF DUAL TAXATION

- Both taxes incidence on same base
- Broad base includes services
- Better yet if the Calculation base is the same or quite similar
- Deductive indirect method debits - credits
- Both taxes only record consumption
- Credit for goods of use and consumption of company and for fixed assets (asset: unburden or provide credit)
- Principle of destination in transactions as in the rest of the world exports unburdened and imports taxes at the same bracket (load) as applied to national goods and services
Within Brazil, tax factors should not constitute an obstacle for free circulation of goods and services.

Principle of destination to allocate revenues from state VAT collection model for fiscal adjustments at borders is an operational issue ‘mitigated’ boat

Fewer brackets requirements for VAT to function

Federal and State governments preserve autonomy to set brackets predetermined space and margins

Autonomy linked to the possibility of defining budget size
Within Brazil, tax factors should not constitute an obstacle for free circulation of goods and services.

Principle of destination to allocate revenues from state VAT collection model for fiscal adjustments at borders is an operational issue ‘mitigated’ boat.

Fewer brackets requirements for VAT to function.
REFERENCES MODEL - DIFFICULTIES

- Coexistence of differentiated regimes
- National ‘Simples’ Tax
- Tax Replacement
- Manaus Free Trade Zone
- Municipal taxation on services (ISS) or alternative source
- Size of brackets
- Explanation of tax burdens and fiscal citizenship
- Makes simplification difficult
- Calibrating brackets even if correct, will affect sectoral burden
**REFERENCE MODEL - DIFFICULTIES**

- Vertical competition on higher consumption bases delimiting space for each VAT?
- Model for fiscal agreement at interstate borders accumulation of credit
- Losses from tax war and development policies tax war that uses interstate brackets as an instrument will end, a regional development policy would have to be established
- Compensation for losses to reduce insecurities
The major part of taxation reform of goods and services is in the transition and operational aspects. Convergence of legislation and regulations. Approximation of tax regimes (example: replace taxation in two VATs). Convergence of tax calculation bases. Accommodating and calibrating brackets. Principle of destination for allocating revenue and operational model for fiscal agreement at interstate borders. Tax benefits: how to resolve losses from tax war, including to render adoption of the principle of destination feasible?
Operational Aspects and Transition

- Administrative integration:
  - Accessory obligations
  - Records
  - Surveillance
  - Administrative proceedings
  - Consultations; interpretation; conflict resolution

Alternative for transition

Implementation by segments / activities?

Progressive harmonization of bases before adoption of Dual VAT?
LACK OF HARMONY IN CURRENT TAXATION OF GOODS AND SERVICES

- Many taxes on the same item operation, legal act, business deal...
- Differentiated regime for each tax
- Differentiated regime for each tax
- Single phase and multi-phase
- Cumulative and non-cumulative
- "Cumulativity" in non-cumulative taxes (use/consumption, asset, exportation)
- Different calculation methods to obtain non-cumulativity: deductive direct method (non-VA bracket); deductive indirect method (debits – credits)
- Regimes: normal, National "Simples", Tax Replacement (TR)
- Lack of harmony in TR hypotheses and taxes submitted to regime/ states that apply
- Different forms and terms for appropriation of credits (example: fixed assets)
LACK OF HARMONY IN CURRENT TAXATION
OF GOODS AND SERVICES

- ICMS tax war
- Brackets hidden inside and outside
- Multiple nominal brackets and infinite effective brackets
- Taxes are part of calculation base of other taxes, at times simultaneously
- On the operational plane, triplication of efforts
- surveillance
- “accessory” obligations
- payment terms
- records
- information systems.
- consultations
- administrative proceedings
- We could spend the day on examples…